UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,079	01/18/2006	Jun Keun Chang	CHANG216	3911
	7590 12/17/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH ST		KINGAN, TIMOTHY G		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/565,079	CHANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TIMOTHY G. KINGAN	1797			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE METERS OF THE ME	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 S</u>	entember 2009				
· <u> </u>					
<u> </u>	/ 				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)	4) □ Inter ion (0	(PTO 412)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/565,079 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over J. Qiu, U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0145805 (herein after Qiu; effective filing date 01/16/2003) in view of R.L. Brown and G. Schwartz, U.S. Patent 5,371,010 (herein after Brown).

For Claims 1, 2 and 4, Qiu teaches a unitary counting device for cells comprising a top part, connection part and base part, a counting chamber with a grid of microscopic lines to define counting area, the connection layer bonding the top part and the base part (abstract), further comprising two ports **22** for sample application in the top substrate and two ports **24** for air escape ([0016], [0035]; Fig. 1b) (upper substrate comprises a discharge hole), the top part made of a film or sheet of plastic or glass

Art Unit: 1797

[0038] (transparent upper substrate) and the base part (lower substrate) providing optical clarity to permit focus under the field of view of an optical microscope [0048] (transparent lower substrate; area of the fill chamber is transparent). Qiu further teaches that the top part and base part (upper and lower substrates may be bound directly to one another by an adhesive to form an integrated body, the space formed thereby defining the counting chamber of a predetermined height ([0043] and [0042]).

While Qiu teaches that either the top substrate or the base part (upper or lower substrate) may contain precisely spaced lines in a grid pattern, which may be produced in substrate material by hot stamping or embossing [0053] to create positive grids (e.g., Fig. 3(d)), the provisional application discloses formation of such grids only on the top substrate (60/440364, p. 2). However, grids formed by embossing on the lower substrate for counting cells in chambers are known in the art. Brown teaches a container for counting cells and cell colonies in culture comprising a transparent flat bottom, the grid preferably formed on the underside of the container, and in a preferred aspect, the grid is formed from raised transparent ridges that intersect at right angles on the underside of the transparent container (col 4, lines 18-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the transparent lower substrate, according to the teaching of Brown, in producing raised ridges by embossing in grid formation in the device of Qiu, in order to provide for grid lines in close proximity to cells that may rest on the upper surface of the lower substrate, thereby improving the plane of focus for both cells and grid lines. Further, examiner notes that conventional hemacytometers place grid lines on the transparent lower substrate.

For Claim 5, Qiu teaches that the total thickness of the space (formed by a connecting layer or an adhesive) is chosen to be suitable for different sized cells and can range from 0.01 mm to 5 mm, preferably between 0.02 mm to 1 mm).

For Claim 7, Qiu and Brown do not teach an indicative member on the upper substrate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to place an indicative member on the upper substrate in order to facilitate coarse positioning of the counting chamber, and the lattice therein, the fine markings of which may otherwise be difficult to locate in a method of counting involving microscopy.

For Claim 8, Qiu teaches that the base part (lower substrate) may be fabricated from any transparent plastic sheet [0049].

For Claim 9, Qiu teaches that biological solutions suitable for use in cell counting microscopic particles include blood or cell culture [0005].

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY G. KINGAN whose telephone number is (571)270-3720. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M., E.S.T..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,079 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TGK /Jill Warden/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797